

Entanglement criterion based on skew information

Zhihao Ma

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University,
Shanghai, 200240, P.R.China, Email: mazhihao@sjtu.edu.cn

(Dated: August 10, 2009)

we can establish an entanglement criteria from skew information. our criterion is independent of Local Uncertainty Relations (LUR) ([3],[4]).

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.-a, 03.67.Mn

The following can be found in [1],[3],[4]:

We start with the following observation. Let ϱ be a density matrix, and let M be an observable. We denote the expectation value of M by $\langle M \rangle_\varrho := \text{Tr}(\varrho M)$ and the variance (or uncertainty) of M by

$$\delta^2(M)_\varrho := \langle (M - \langle M \rangle_\varrho)^2 \rangle_\varrho = \langle M^2 \rangle_\varrho - \langle M \rangle_\varrho^2. \quad (1)$$

We suppress the dependence on ϱ in our notation, when there is no risk of confusion. If ϱ is a pure state the variance is zero iff ϱ is an eigenstate of M . Now we have:

Lemma 1 of [1]. Let M_i be some observables and $\varrho = \sum_k p_k \varrho_k$ be a convex combination (*i.e.* $p_k \geq 0, \sum_k p_k = 1$) of some states ϱ_k within some subset S . Then

$$\sum_i \delta^2(M_i)_\varrho \geq \sum_k p_k \sum_i \delta^2(M_i)_{\varrho_k} \quad (2)$$

holds.

In this paper, we will discuss new Relations, and get new entanglement criteria.

First, note that Wigner and Yanase introduced the following concept in [2], skew information, it was defined as:

$$I(\varrho, M) := \text{Tr}(\varrho M^2) - \text{Tr}(\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} M \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} M). \quad (3)$$

where M is an observable.

When ϱ is a pure state, then $I(\varrho, M)$ reduce to the variance $\delta^2(M)_\varrho$.

$I(\varrho, M)$ has the following celebrated properties:

(a): convex, *i.e.*,

$$I(\lambda_1 \varrho_1 + \lambda_2 \varrho_2, M) \leq \lambda_1 I(\varrho_1, M) + \lambda_2 I(\varrho_2, M) \quad (4)$$

for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0$.

(b):

$$I(\varrho_1 \otimes \varrho_2, M_1 \otimes I + I \otimes M_2) = I(\varrho_1, M_1) + I(\varrho_2, M_2) \quad (5)$$

where M_1 is an observable of Alice, M_2 is an observable of Bob.

We have the following inequality:

Lemma 2. Let M_i be some observables and $\varrho = \sum_k p_k \varrho_k$ be a convex combination (*i.e.* $p_k \geq 0, \sum_k p_k = 1$) of some states ϱ_k within some subset S . Then

$$\sum_i I(\varrho, M_i) \leq \sum_k p_k \sum_i I(\varrho_k, M_i) \quad (6)$$

holds. We call a state “violating Lemma 2” iff there are no states $\varrho_k \in S$ and no p_k such that Eq. (6) is fulfilled.

Proof. From property (a), we know that the inequality holds for each M_i : $I(\varrho, M_i) = I(\sum_k p_k \varrho_k, M_i) \leq \sum_k p_k I(\varrho_k, M_i)$. $\square$

We know that $I(\varrho, M)$ and uncertainty $\delta^2(M)_\varrho$ coincides on pure state, *i.e.* when ϱ is a pure state, then the inequalities (2) and (6) in lemma 1, 2 both become equality.

let us recall the “Local Uncertainty Relations” (LURs), introduced by Hofmann and Takeuchi [4]. Let A_i be observables on Alice’s space of a bipartite system. If they do not share a common eigenstate, there is a number $C_A > 0$ such that $\sum_i \delta^2(A_i)_{\varrho_A} \geq C_A$ holds for all states ϱ_A on Alice’s space. Hofmann and Takeuchi showed:

Proposition 1. [4] Let ϱ be separable and let $A_i, B_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be operators on Alice’s (resp. Bob’s) space, fulfilling $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta^2(A_i)_{\varrho_A} \geq C_A$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta^2(B_i)_{\varrho_B} \geq C_B$. We define $M_i := A_i \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes B_i$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta^2(M_i)_\varrho \geq C_A + C_B \quad (7)$$

holds.

The LURs provide strong criteria which can by construction be implemented with local measurements.

We have a dual result of the above Proposition of [3]:

Theorem 1. Let ϱ be separable and let $A_i, B_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be operators on Alice’s (resp. Bob’s) space, fulfilling $\sum_{i=1}^n I(\varrho_A, A_i) \leq C_A$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n I(\varrho_B, B_i) \leq C_B$. We define $M_i := A_i \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes B_i$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n I(\varrho, M_i) \leq C_A + C_B \quad (8)$$

holds.

Proof. From property (b), we know that for product states, $I(\varrho_A \otimes \varrho_B, M_i) = I(\varrho_A, A_i) + I(\varrho_B, B_i)$, and from property (a), we know that after mixture, the inequality (8) holds $\square$

The CCN criterion can be formulated in the following: see [3]. It makes use of the Schmidt decomposition in operator space. Due to that, any density matrix ρ can

be written as

$$\rho = \sum_k \lambda_k G_k^A \otimes G_k^B. \quad (9)$$

where the $\lambda_k \geq 0$ and G_k^A and G_k^B are orthogonal bases of the observable spaces, Such a basis consists of d^2 observables which have to fulfill

$$\text{Tr}(G_k^A G_l^A) = \text{Tr}(G_k^B G_l^B) = \delta_{kl}. \quad (10)$$

We refer to such observables as *local orthogonal observables* (LOOs). For instance, for qubits the (appropriately normalized) Pauli matrices together with the identity form a set of LOOs.

Theorem 2. To connect our criterion with the LURs, first note that for any LOOs G_k^A the following relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d^2} I(G_k^A) \leq d - 1 \quad (11)$$

holds for any states for Alice(Bob).

Proof. Since $\sum_k \text{Tr}(\rho(G_k^A)^2) = d\mathbb{1}$ and that $\sum_k \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} G_k^A \rho^{1/2} G_k^A) = \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2})^2 \geq 1$. $\square$

In [3], the authors get the following: for separable states

$$1 - \sum_k \langle G_k^A \otimes G_k^B \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \langle G_k^A \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes G_k^B \rangle^2 \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

For our criterion, since $I(\varrho, M) := \text{Tr}(\varrho M^2) - \text{Tr}(\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} M \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} M)$, so Combining Eq (11) with the method of [3], using the fact that $\sum_k (G_k^A)^2 = \sum_k (G_k^B)^2 = d\mathbb{1}$ we can repair the above inequality as: for separable states

$$1 - \sum_k \langle G_k^A \otimes G_k^B \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \text{Tr}(\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} M_k \varrho^{\frac{1}{2}} M_k) \leq 0. \quad (13)$$

where $M_k := G_k^A \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes G_k^B$.

In [3], it was proved that Any state which violates the computable cross norm criterion can be detected by a local uncertainty relation, while the converse is not true.

Numerical experiment show that the our criterion is independent of the LURs.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 117903 (2004)
- [2]. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 49, 910 (1963).
- [3]. Phys. Rev. A 74, 010301(R) (2006)
- [4]. Phys. Rev. A **68**, 032103 (2003).